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We compute the effective wavefront speeds of reaction-diffusion equations in periodically layered media with coefficients that have small-amplitude oscillations around a uniform mean state. We compare them with the corresponding wavefront speeds in the uniform state. We analyze a one-dimensional model where wave propagation is along the layering direction of the medium and a two-dimensional shear flow model where wave propagation is othogonal to the layering direction. We find that the effective wave speed is smaller in the one-dimensional model and is larger in the two-dimensional model for both bistable cubic and quadratic nonlinearities of the Kolmogorov-PetrovskiiPiskunov form. We derive approximate expressions for the wave speeds in the bistable case.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

We will consider travelling waves for reaction-diffusion (RD) equations

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{t} & =\sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(a_{i j}(x) u_{x_{i}}\right)_{x_{j}}+f(u)  \tag{1.1}\\
\left.u\right|_{t=0} & =u_{0}(x)
\end{align*}
$$

where $a(x)=\left(a_{i j}(x)\right)$ is a smooth positive-definite matrix, $2 \pi$-periodic in each direction in $R^{n}$. The nonlinear function $f(u)$ is either the bistable nonlinearity

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(u)=u(1-u)(u-\mu), \quad \mu \in(0,1 / 2) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]or the quadratic nonlinearity
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(u)=u(1-u) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

of the Kolmogorov-Petrovskii-Piscunov (KPP) form. ${ }^{(11)}$ Traveling wave solutions of (1.1) have the form $u=U(k \cdot x-c t, x)$, where the direction of propagation $k \in R^{n}$ is a unit vector, $c=c(k)$ is the speed, and the wave profile $U=U(s, y), s=k \cdot x-c t, y=x$, satisfies the equation

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(k \partial_{s}+\nabla_{y}\right) \cdot\left[a(y)\left(k \partial_{s}+\nabla_{y}\right) U\right]+c U_{s}+f(U)=0 \\
U(-\infty, y)=0, \quad U(+\infty, y)=1  \tag{1.4}\\
U(s, \cdot) 2 \pi \text {-periodic }
\end{gather*}
$$

When $a(x)=I$, the identity, (1.1) is the usual reaction-diffusion equation in a homogeneous medium, the traveling wave solution has the form $U(k \cdot x-c t)$, and (1.4) is an ordinary differential equation for $U$ as a function of $s$. For a medium with periodic structure it is reasonable to look for wave profiles that also have periodic structure, along with their usual form in the direction of propagation, as is common in homogenization problems. ${ }^{(2)}$ For listable nonlinearities (1.2), traveling waves and their stability are analyzed in refs. 1 and 7 in the homogeneous case. For KPP nonlinearities they are analyzed in refs. 9-11. Xin ${ }^{(15)}$ showed that if $a(y)$ is close to a constant positive-definite matrix, then (1.4) admits a unique solution ( $U, c$ ) up to a constant shift in $s$, with $c<0$. This traveling wave solution is stable in one space dimension. In the multidimensional case it is not known if the traveling waves constructed in ref. 15 are stable.

We see from (1.4) that the wave speed $c$ and wave profile $U$ are coupled and must be determined together. However, in the KPP case the asymptotic speeds can be determined independently of the wave profile and Gartner and Freidlin ${ }^{(10)}$ obtained the following result: If $u_{0}$ is nonnegative $(\not \equiv 0)$ and compactly supported, then there exists a number $c^{*}(k)$, the asymptotic speed in the direction $k$, such that $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} u(t, c t k)=1$, if $0 \leqslant c<c^{*}(k)$, and $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} u(t, c t k)=0$, if $c>c^{*}(k)$. To compute $c^{*}$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{y} u=\sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(\partial_{x_{j}}-y_{j}\right)\left[a_{i j}(y)\left(\partial_{x_{i}}-y_{i}\right) u\right]+f_{u}(0) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y \in R^{n}$ is any constant vector and $u$ is any smooth $2 \pi$-periodic function. Let $\lambda=\lambda(y)$ be its principal eigenvalue with positive eigenfunction. It can be shown that $\lambda$ is smooth and convex in $y$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
c^{*}(k)=\inf _{(y, k)>0} \frac{\lambda(y)}{(y, k)} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this paper, we calculate approximately the speed of the traveling wave in a periodically layered medium, fluctuating around a constant state with mean one and small variation. We compare the wave speed in the layered medium (the effective wave speed) with that in the constant state and see how the medium affects it. We consider two model problems of propagation in layered media. In Section 2 we analyze traveling waves in a two-dimensional shear flow where the flow is layered in the $y_{1}$ direction and the wave is propagating in the $y_{2}$ direction, orthogonal to the direction of layering in the flow. We derive an approximate formula for the speed of the traveling wave, up to second order in the variations of the shear flow. We find that the speed in the two-dimensional layered medium is larger than that in the uniform medium. In Section 3 we analyze a one-dimensional problem where the wave propagates along the layering direction of the medium. As in the 2D shear flow model the qualitative behavior of the wave speed depends only on the form of the nonlinearity $f(u)$ and not on the detailed properties of the medium. The approximate calculation of the speed requires the determination of a set of constants which are related to the solution of certain second-order ordinary differential equations on $R_{1}$. Solvability of the ODEs is studied in ref. 15 . Here they are solved numerically using a finite-difference method and the constants are then computed. Our numerical results show that the wave speed decreases in the layered medium.

In Section 4 we give the corresponding qualitative results for the effective wave speeds in the case of KPP nonlinearity by using formula (1.6). It turns out that the same phenomenon occurs: speedup in the two-dimensional shear flow model and slowdown in the one-dimensional layered medium. These results are analogous to what happens to the effective diffusivity in the corresponding linear problems. It increases in the two-dimensional shear flow case and decreases in the one-dimensional case.

## 2. WAVE SPEED IN A 2D SHEAR FLOW MODEL

The reaction-diffusion equation in a two-dimensional shear flow is

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{t}=\Delta u+W \cdot \nabla u+f(u) \\
W=\left(0, w\left(y_{1}\right)\right), \quad \Delta=\partial_{y_{1} y_{1}}^{2}+\partial_{y_{2} y_{2}}^{2}  \tag{2.7}\\
f(u)=u(1-u)(u-\mu), \quad \mu \in(0,1 / 2)
\end{gather*}
$$

where $w\left(y_{1}\right)$ is a smooth periodic function with period $2 \pi$. A traveling wave moving in the $y_{2}$ direction has the form

$$
u=\varphi\left(y_{2}-c t, y_{1}\right)=\varphi\left(s, y_{1}\right), \quad s=y_{2}-c t
$$

Substituting the above into (2.7), we see that $\varphi$ satisfies

$$
\begin{gather*}
\varphi_{s s}+\varphi_{y_{1} y_{1}}+\left[c+w\left(y_{1}\right)\right] \varphi_{s}+f(\varphi)=0  \tag{2.8}\\
\varphi\left(-\infty, y_{1}\right)=0, \quad \varphi\left(+\infty, y_{1}\right)=1, \quad \varphi(s, \cdot) 2 \pi \text {-periodic }
\end{gather*}
$$

and we add a normalization condition

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \varphi\left(0, y_{1}\right) d y_{1}=\frac{1}{2}
$$

to make the solution unique. The traveling wave equation (2.8) has also been studied in ref. 5 for a premixed flame propagation model with Neumann boundary conditions on $y_{1}$ and combustion nonlinearity. For the derivation of this model and its physical background, we refer to refs. 12 and 14.

We are interested here in the effects of $w\left(y_{1}\right)$ on the speed $c$. If $w\left(y_{1}\right)$ is a small mean-zero periodic function, will $c$ be larger than the speed in the uniform medium or smaller? We expand $w\left(y_{1}\right)$ in terms of a small parameter $\delta$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
w\left(y_{1}\right)=\delta w_{1}\left(y_{1}\right)+\delta^{2} w_{2}\left(y_{1}\right)+\cdots \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w_{i}\left(y_{1}\right)(i=1,2, \ldots)$ have mean zero over $[0,2 \pi]$. Now expand the traveling wave and the speed

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi & =\varphi_{0}+\delta \varphi_{1}+\cdots  \tag{2.10}\\
c & =c_{0}+\delta c_{1}+\cdots \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

and plug these expansions into (2.8). We obtain the following equations up to $O\left(\delta^{2}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
O(1): & \varphi_{0, s s}+c_{0} \varphi_{0, s}+f\left(\varphi_{0}\right)=0  \tag{2.12}\\
O(\delta): & \varphi_{1, s s}+\varphi_{1, y_{1} y_{1}}+c_{0} \varphi_{1, s}+f^{\prime}\left(\varphi_{0}\right) \varphi_{1}=-\left[c_{1}+w_{1}\left(y_{1}\right)\right] \varphi_{0, s}  \tag{2.13}\\
O\left(\delta^{2}\right): & \varphi_{2, s s}+\varphi_{2, y_{1} y_{1}}+c_{0} \varphi_{2, s}+f^{\prime}\left(\varphi_{0}\right) \varphi_{2} \\
& =-c_{1} \varphi_{1, s}-c_{2} \varphi_{0, s}-w_{1} \varphi_{1, s}-w_{2} \varphi_{0, s}-1 / 2 f^{\prime \prime}\left(\varphi_{0}\right) \varphi_{1}^{2} \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

From (2.12), we get $\varphi_{0}=\varphi_{0}(s), \varphi_{0}(0)=1 / 2$, and $c_{0}=c_{0}(f)$, solution of the usual traveling wave equation

$$
\begin{gathered}
\varphi^{\prime \prime}+c \varphi^{\prime}+f(\varphi)=0 \\
\varphi(-\infty)=0, \quad \varphi(0)=1 / 2, \quad \varphi(+\infty)=1
\end{gathered}
$$

The solvability condition for (2.13) is

$$
\int\left[c_{1}+w_{1}\left(y_{1}\right)\right] \varphi_{0, s} \psi d s d y_{1}=0
$$

where $\psi=e^{c_{0} s} \varphi_{0, s}$ spans the null space of the adjoint of the linearized $\phi$ equation and the integral is over $R^{1} \times[0,2 \pi]$. Thus $c_{1}=-\left\langle w_{1}\left(y_{1}\right)\right\rangle=0$. We use $\langle\cdot\rangle$ to denote the average of the function inside the bracket over [ $0,2 \pi]$. Equation (2.13) then becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{1, s s}+\varphi_{1, y_{1} y_{i}}+c_{0} \varphi_{1, s}+f^{\prime}\left(\varphi_{0}\right) \varphi_{1}=-w_{1}\left(y_{1}\right) \varphi_{0, s} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $(\cdot, \cdot)$ denote the inner product over $R^{1} \times[0,2 \pi]$ divided by $2 \pi$. From (2.14) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{2}\left(\varphi_{0, s}, \psi\right)+\left(w_{1} \varphi_{1, s}, \psi\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(f^{\prime \prime}\left(\varphi_{0}\right) \varphi_{1}^{2}, \psi\right)+\left(w_{2} \varphi_{0, s}, \psi\right)=0 \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The last term on the left-hand side is equal to zero since $w_{2}$ has mean zero and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{2}\left(\varphi_{0, s}, \psi\right)=-\frac{1}{2}\left(f^{\prime \prime}\left(\varphi_{0}\right) \varphi_{1}^{2}, \psi\right)-\left(w_{1} \varphi_{1, s}, \psi\right) \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $f^{\prime \prime}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)=-6 \varphi_{0}+2 \mu+2$,

$$
\left.c_{2}\left(\varphi_{0, s}, \psi\right)=\left(3 \varphi_{0}-\mu-1\right) \varphi_{1}^{2}, \psi\right)-\left(w_{1} \varphi_{1 . s}, \psi\right)
$$

with

$$
\left(\varphi_{0, s}, \psi\right)=\int_{R^{1}} \varphi_{0, s} e^{c_{0} s} \varphi_{0, s} d s
$$

and

$$
\left(\left(3 \varphi_{0}-\mu-1\right) \varphi_{1}^{2}, \psi\right)=\int_{R^{1}}\left(3 \varphi_{0}-\mu-1\right) \varphi_{0, s} e^{c_{0} s}\left\langle\varphi_{1}^{2}\right\rangle d s
$$

We solve (2.15) by Fourier series. Let

$$
w_{1}\left(y_{1}\right)=\sum_{m \neq 0} b_{m} e^{i m y_{1}}
$$

and

$$
\varphi_{1}\left(y_{1}, s\right)=\sum_{m \neq 0} a_{m}(s) e^{i m y_{1}}
$$

where we have used the fact that $\left\langle\phi_{1}\right\rangle=0$. We substitute these expansions into (2.15) and collect Fourier coefficients to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{m}^{\prime \prime}+c_{0} a_{m}^{\prime}+\left[f^{\prime}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)-m^{2}\right] a_{m}=-b_{m} \varphi_{0, s} \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\varphi_{0, s}$ satisfies

$$
a_{m}^{\prime \prime}+c_{0} a_{m}^{\prime}+f^{\prime}\left(\varphi_{0}\right) a_{m}=0
$$

and (2.18) has the unique solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{m}=\frac{b_{m}}{m^{2}} \varphi_{0, s} \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\varphi_{1}^{2}\right\rangle & =\sum_{m \neq 0} \frac{\left|b_{m}\right|^{2}}{m^{4}} \varphi_{0, s}^{2} \\
& =\beta \varphi_{0, s}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\beta=\sum_{m \neq 0} \frac{\left|b_{m}\right|^{2}}{m^{4}}
$$

Let

$$
I=\int_{R^{1}}\left(\varphi_{0}-\frac{\mu+1}{3}\right) \varphi_{0, s}^{3} e^{c_{0} s} d s
$$

and

$$
J=-\left(w_{1} \varphi_{1, s}, \psi\right)
$$

Then

$$
c_{2}=\frac{3 \beta}{\alpha} I+\frac{J}{\alpha}
$$

where

$$
\alpha=\int_{s} \varphi_{0, s}^{2} e^{c_{0} s} d s
$$

By (2.19), we have

$$
\varphi_{1}\left(s, y_{1}\right)=\varphi_{0, s} \sum_{m \neq 0} \frac{b_{m}}{m^{2}} e^{m y_{1}}
$$

and so

$$
\begin{aligned}
J & =-\left(w_{1} \varphi_{1, s}, \psi\right) \\
& =-\int_{R^{1}}\left\langle w_{1} \varphi_{1, s}\right\rangle \psi d s \\
& =-\int_{s}\left(\sum_{m \neq 0} \frac{\left|b_{m}\right|^{2}}{m^{2}}\right) \varphi_{0, s s} \varphi_{0, s} e^{\cos } d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma=\sum_{m \neq 0} \frac{\left|b_{m}\right|^{2}}{m^{2}} \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
J & =-\gamma \int_{R^{1}} \varphi_{0, s s} \varphi_{0, s} e^{c_{0} s} d s \\
& =-\frac{\gamma}{2} \int_{R^{1}}\left(\varphi_{0, s}^{2}\right)_{s} e^{c_{0} s} d s \\
& =\frac{\gamma}{2} c_{0} \int_{R^{1}} \varphi_{0, s}^{2} e^{c_{0} s} d s \\
& =\frac{\gamma}{2} \alpha c_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{2}=\frac{3 \beta}{\alpha} I+\frac{\gamma}{2} c_{0} \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we compute $I=I(\mu)$. In the case of $f(\varphi)=\varphi(1-\varphi)(\varphi-\mu)$ with $\mu \in(0,1 / 2)$, we have Huxley's explicit expressions for $\varphi_{0}$ and $c_{0}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{0} & =[1+\exp (-s / \sqrt{2})]^{-1} \\
c_{0} & =\frac{2 \mu-1}{\sqrt{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let

$$
I_{1}=\int_{R^{1}} \varphi_{0} \varphi_{0, s}^{3} e^{c_{0} s} d s
$$

and

$$
I_{2}=\frac{\mu+1}{3} \int_{R^{1}} \varphi_{0, s}^{3} e^{c_{0} s} d s
$$

so that $I=I_{1}-I_{2}$. We will show that $I_{1}=I_{2}$ and hence that $I=0$. Since

$$
\varphi_{0, s}=(1 / \sqrt{2})[\exp (-s / \sqrt{2})][1+\exp (-s / \sqrt{2})]^{-2}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{1}=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}[1+\exp (-s / \sqrt{2})]^{-1}(1 / \sqrt{2})^{3} \exp (-3 s / \sqrt{2}) \\
& \times[1+\exp (-s / \sqrt{2})]^{-6} \exp \left(c_{0} s\right) d s \\
& =(1 / 2 \sqrt{2}) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp (-3 s / \sqrt{2})[1+\exp (-s / \sqrt{2})]^{-7} \\
& \times \exp \{[(2 \mu-1) / \sqrt{2}] s\} d s \\
& \stackrel{\eta=s / \sqrt{2}}{=} \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp (-3 \eta) \exp [(2 \mu-1) \eta][1+\exp (-\eta)]^{-7} d \eta \\
& y=\exp (-\eta) \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} y^{-2 \mu+3}(1+y)^{-7} d y \\
& x=\underline{=}+1 \frac{1}{2} \int_{1}^{+\infty}(x-1)^{3-2 \mu} x^{-7} d x \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int_{1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{x^{4+2 \mu}}\left(1-\frac{1}{x}\right)^{3-2 \mu} d x \\
& \stackrel{z=1 / x}{=} \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} z^{4+2 \mu}(1-z)^{3-2 \mu} \frac{d z}{z^{2}} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} z^{2+2 \mu}(1-z)^{3-2 \mu} d z \\
& =\frac{1}{2} B(3+2 \mu, 4-2 \mu) \tag{2.22}
\end{align*}
$$

where $B=B(x, y)$ is the beta function. Similarly, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{2} & =\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mu+1}{3} \int_{0}^{+\infty} y^{3-2 \mu}(1+y)^{-6} d y \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mu+1}{3} \int_{0}^{1} z^{1+2 \mu}(1-z)^{3-2 \mu} d z \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mu+1}{3} B(2+2 \mu, 4-2 \mu) \tag{2.23}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the identities

$$
\begin{align*}
B(x, y) & =\frac{\Gamma(x) \Gamma(y)}{\Gamma(x+y)}  \tag{2.24}\\
\Gamma(x+1) & =x \Gamma(x)
\end{align*}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
B(3+2 \mu, 4-2 \mu) & =\frac{\Gamma(3+2 \mu) \Gamma(4-2 \mu)}{\Gamma(7)} \\
& =\frac{2+2 \mu}{6} \frac{\Gamma(2+2 \mu) \Gamma(4-2 \mu)}{\Gamma(6)} \\
& =\frac{\mu+1}{3} B(2+2 \mu, 4-2 \mu)
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies

$$
I_{1}=I_{2}
$$

We have shown therefore that $I=0$ and hence the speed $c(\delta)$ has the expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(\delta)=c_{0}\left(1+\frac{\gamma}{2} \delta^{2}+\cdots\right) \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma$ is given by (2.20) and the $b_{m}$ 's are the Fourier coefficients of $w_{1}\left(y_{1}\right)$.

Our result (2.25) says that waves propagating in a particular (a layered) divergence-free, mean-zero periodic medium speed up. This phenomenon has been observed in flame propagation through turbulent media, in refs. 6 and 13, and formulas for the turbulent flame speed in terms of the laminar flame speed are similar to (2.25).

## 3. WAVE SPEED IN A 1D LAYERED MEDIUM

In this section, we study the speed of the traveling wave solution of the 1D bistable reaction-diffusion equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}=\left(a(x) u_{x}\right)_{x}+f(u) \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f(u)$ is given by (1.2) and $a(x)=1+\delta a_{1}(x)$, with $a_{1}(x)$ a smooth, $2 \pi$-periodic, mean-zero function and $\delta$ a small parameter. The traveling
wave solution has the form $u=u(x-c t, x)=u(s, y)$, where $s=x-c t$, $y=x$. The wave profile $u$ satisfies as a function of $s$ and $y$ the equation

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\partial_{s}+\partial_{y}\right)\left[a(y)\left(\partial_{s}+\partial_{y}\right) u\right]+c u_{s}+f(u)=0 \\
u(-\infty, y)=0, \quad u(+\infty, y)=1  \tag{3.27}\\
u(s, \cdot) 2 \pi \text {-periodic, } \quad\langle u(0, \cdot)\rangle=1 / 2
\end{gather*}
$$

We write

$$
\begin{gathered}
u(s, y)=u(s, y, \delta)=\varphi_{0}(s)+\delta \varphi_{1}(s, y)+\cdots \\
c=c(\delta)=c_{0}+\delta c_{1}+\frac{\delta^{2}}{2} c_{2}+\cdots
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\varphi_{0}(s)$ and $c_{0}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{gathered}
\varphi_{s s}+c_{0} \varphi_{s}+f(\varphi)=0 \\
\varphi(-\infty)=0, \quad \varphi(0)=1 / 2, \quad \varphi(+\infty)=1
\end{gathered}
$$

Let

$$
L_{0} v=\left(\partial_{s}+\partial_{y}\right)^{2} v+c_{0} v_{s}+f_{u}\left(\varphi_{0}\right) v
$$

Expanding in powers of $\delta$, we find that $\phi_{1}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{0} \phi_{1}=-c^{\prime} \varphi_{0, s}-\left(\partial_{s}+\partial_{y}\right)\left[a_{1}\left(\partial_{s}+\partial_{y}\right) \varphi_{0}\right] \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solvability condition for (3.28) is

$$
\left(-c_{1} \varphi_{0, s}-\left(\partial_{s}+\partial_{y}\right)\left(a_{1}\left(\partial_{s}+\partial_{y}\right) \varphi_{0}\right), \varphi_{0, s} e^{c_{0} s}\right)=0
$$

or

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{1}\left(\varphi_{0, s}, \varphi_{0, s} e^{c_{0} s}\right) & =-\left(\left(\partial_{s}+\partial_{y}\right)\left[a_{1}\left(\partial_{s}+\partial_{y}\right) \varphi_{0}\right], \varphi_{0, s} e^{c_{0} s}\right) \\
& =-\left(a_{1} \varphi_{0, s s}, \varphi_{0, s} e^{c_{0} s}\right) \\
& =-\left\langle a_{1}\right\rangle\left(\varphi_{0, s s}, \varphi_{0, s} e^{c_{0} s}\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $c_{1}=0$. Expanding to order $\delta^{2}$, we find that $\phi_{2}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{0} \phi_{2}=-c_{2} \varphi_{0, s}-2\left(\partial_{s}+\partial_{y}\right)\left[a_{1}\left(\partial_{s}+\partial_{y}\right) \phi_{1}\right]-f_{u u}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)\left(\phi_{1}\right)^{2} \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solvability condition for (3.29) is

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{2}\left(\varphi_{0, s}, \varphi_{0, s} e^{c_{0} s}\right) & =-\left(f_{u u}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)\left(\phi_{1}\right)^{2}+2\left(\partial_{s}+\partial_{y}\right)\left[a_{1}\left(\partial_{s}+\partial_{y}\right) \phi_{1}\right], \varphi_{0, s} e^{c_{0} s}\right) \\
& =-\left(f_{u u}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)\left(\phi_{1}\right)^{2}+2 a_{1}\left(\partial_{s}+\partial_{y}\right) \phi_{1 s}, \varphi_{0, s} e^{c_{0} s}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\phi_{1}$ is given by (3.28), which simplifies to

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{0} \phi_{1}=-a_{1, y} \varphi_{0, s}-a_{1} \varphi_{0, s s} \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

We solve (3.30) by Fourier series. Let

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
a_{1}=\sum \beta_{m} e^{i m y}, \quad \beta_{0}=0, & \sum m^{2}\left|\beta_{m}\right|^{2}<+\infty \\
\phi_{1}=\sum \alpha_{m} e^{i m y}, & \alpha_{0}=0
\end{array}
$$

Then the Fourier coefficients of $\phi_{1}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{m, s s}+\left(c_{0}+2 m i\right) \alpha_{m, s}+\left[-m^{2}+f_{u}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)\right] \alpha_{m}=-i m \beta_{m} \varphi_{0 . s}-\beta_{m} \varphi_{0, s s} \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $q_{m}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{m, s s}+\left(c_{0}+2 m i\right) q_{m, s}+\left[-m^{2}+f_{u}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)\right] q_{m}=-i m \varphi_{0, s}-\varphi_{0, s s} \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that $\alpha_{m}=\beta_{m} q_{m}$. Then the second-order correction to the speed has the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{2}\left(\varphi_{0, s}, \varphi_{0, s} e^{c_{0, s}}\right) \\
&=-\sum \int_{s}\left|\beta_{m}\right|^{2}\left|q_{m}\right|^{2} f_{u u}\left(\varphi_{0}\right) \varphi_{0, s} e^{c_{0, s}} d s \\
&-2 \sum \int_{s} \varphi_{0, s} e^{c_{0} s}\left|\beta_{m}\right|^{2}\left(i m q_{m, s}+q_{m, s s}\right) d s \\
&=-\sum\left|\beta_{m}\right|^{2} \int_{s}\left[f_{u u}\left(\varphi_{0}\right) \varphi_{0, s}\left|q_{m}\right|^{2} e^{c_{0} s}+2 \varphi_{0} e^{c_{0} s}\left(q_{m, s s}+i m q_{m, s}\right)\right] d s \\
&=-\sum\left|\beta_{m}\right|^{2} \int_{s} \varphi_{0, s} e^{c_{0} s}\left[f_{u u}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)\left|q_{m}\right|^{2}+2\left(q_{m, s s}+i m q_{m, s}\right)\right] d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Since from (3.32) $\overline{q_{m}}=q_{-m}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{q_{m, s s}+i m q_{m, s}}=q_{-m, s s}-i m q_{-m, s} \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{2}\left(\varphi_{0, s}, \varphi_{0, s} e^{c_{0} s}\right)=-2 \sum_{m>0}\left|\beta_{m}\right|^{2} B_{m} \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{m}=\int_{s} \varphi_{0, s} e^{c_{0, v}}\left(f_{u u}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)\left|q_{m}\right|^{2}+2 \operatorname{Re}\left(q_{m, s s}+i m q_{m, s}\right)\right) d s \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the $B_{m}$ 's are independent of $a_{1}(y)$ and depend only on the nonlinear function $f$, since $\left(\varphi_{0}, c_{0}\right)$ and $q_{m}$ are determined by solving ODEs involving $f$. If $q_{m}=p_{m}+i r_{m}$, then (3.32) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{m, s s}+c_{0} p_{m, s}-2 m r_{m, s}+\left[-m^{2}+f_{u}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)\right] p_{m} & =-\varphi_{0, s s} \\
r_{m, s s}+c_{0} r_{m, s}+2 m p_{m, s}+\left[-m^{2}+f_{u}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)\right] r_{m} & =-m \varphi_{0, s} \tag{3.36}
\end{align*}
$$

and the $B_{m}$ 's can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{m}=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varphi_{0, s} e^{c_{0} s}\left[f_{u u}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)\left(p_{m}^{2}+r_{m}^{2}\right)+2\left(p_{m, s s}-m r_{m, s}\right)\right] d s \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

which after integration by parts gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{m}=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} 2\left(\varphi_{0, s} e^{c_{0 s} s}\right)_{s s} p_{m}+2 m\left(\varphi_{0, s} e^{c_{0 s}}\right)_{s} r_{m}+\varphi_{0, s} e^{c_{0} s} f_{u u}\left(\varphi_{0}\right)\left(p_{m}^{2}+r_{m}^{2}\right) d s \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $c=c_{0}+\frac{1}{2} \delta^{2} c_{2}+O\left(\delta^{3}\right)$ and $c_{0}$ is negative, we see from (3.34) that if the $B_{m}$ are positive, then the perturbed speed is larger (in absolute value); otherwise, if the $B_{m}$ are all negative, then the perturbed speed is smaller (in absolute value). If some $B_{m}$ are positive and some are negative, then the $\beta_{m}$ or $a_{1}$, must be taken into account.

We use a finite-difference method to compute the $p_{m}$ and $r_{m}$ from (3.36) on a large enough finite interval $[-N, N]$ with zero Dirichlet boundary conditions at the two endpoints. We use the double-precision Linpack routines to solve the linear equations that result from the discretization of the ODEs. Then we calculate the $B_{m}$ from (3.38) using a double-precision integration routine from Naglab. We also use Huxley's formulas for ( $\varphi_{0}, c_{0}$ ). We verified that the numerical scheme converges as the grid is refined. We describe here the results of two typical runs. The first is done on the interval $[-10,10]$ with 500 grid points, and $\mu=0.15$, where $\mu$ is the middle zero point of $f(u)=u(1-u)(u-\mu)$. We compute $B_{1}$ to $B_{100}$ and find that they increase slowly from -0.0915 to -0.08053 . They are all negative. The second run is done on the interval $[-20,20]$ with 2000 grid points and $\mu=0.30$. Now $B_{1}$ to $B_{500}$ increase slowly from -0.05981 to -0.03660 and again they are all negative. In all the runs we observed slow convergence of the $B_{m}$ to some negative constant.

These numerical results show that the wave speed is smaller in the layered medium.

## 4. EFFECTIVE WAVE SPEEDS IN THE KPP CASE

In this section, we use formula (1.6) to calculate the effective wave speeds in the model problems of the previous two sections with KPP nonlinearity (1.3). Since $\lambda(y)$ is smooth and convex, the infinum in (1.6) is achieved at a finite point and thus it is enough to analyze $\lambda$ over a compact set of $y$. By the Krein-Rutman theorem, $\lambda$ is a simple eigenvalue of $L_{y}$, so it is stable under perturbation. In our calculations we will drop the term $f_{u}(0)$ in (1.5) because it only shifts $\lambda$ by a constant.

First we treat the shear flow model. The eigenvalue problem for $\lambda$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{y} u & =\left(\partial_{x_{1}}-z_{1}\right)^{2} u+\left(\partial_{x_{2}}-z_{2}\right)^{2} u+\delta w\left(y_{1}\right)\left(\partial_{x_{2}}-y_{2}\right) u=\lambda u \\
u & =u(x, \delta)=1+\delta u_{1}(x)+\delta^{2} u_{2}(x)+\cdots  \tag{4.39}\\
\hat{\lambda} & =\lambda(y, \delta)=\lambda_{0}(y)+\delta \lambda_{1}(y)+\delta^{2} \lambda_{2}(y)+\cdots
\end{align*}
$$

where $\lambda_{0}(y)=y_{1}^{2}+y_{2}^{2}$. Denoting by a prime differentiation with respect to $\delta$, we get from (4.39)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\partial_{x_{1}}-y_{1}\right)^{2} u^{\prime}+\left(\partial_{x_{2}}-y_{2}\right)^{2} u^{\prime}+w\left(x_{1}\right)\left(\partial_{x_{2}}-y_{2}\right) u+\delta w\left(x_{1}\right)\left(\partial_{x_{2}}-y_{2}\right) u^{\prime} \\
& \quad=\lambda^{\prime} u+\lambda u^{\prime} \tag{4.40}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left(\partial_{x_{1}}-y_{1}\right)^{2} u^{\prime \prime}+\left(\partial_{x_{2}}-y_{2}\right)^{2} u^{\prime \prime}+2 w\left(x_{1}\right)\left(\partial_{x_{2}}-y_{2}\right) u^{\prime}+\delta w\left(x_{1}\right)\left(\partial_{x_{2}}-y_{2}\right) u^{\prime \prime} \\
&=\lambda^{\prime \prime} u+2 \lambda^{\prime} u^{\prime}+\lambda u^{\prime \prime} \tag{4.41}
\end{align*}
$$

Letting $\delta=0$ in (4.40) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\partial_{x_{1}}-y_{1}\right)^{2} u^{\prime}+\left(\partial_{x_{2}}-y_{2}\right)^{2} u^{\prime}-w\left(x_{1}\right) y_{2}=\lambda^{\prime}+\left(y_{1}^{2}+y_{2}^{2}\right) u^{\prime} \tag{4.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{x_{1} x_{1}}^{\prime}+u_{x_{2} x_{2}}^{\prime}-2 y_{1} u_{x_{1}}^{\prime}-2 y_{2} u_{x_{2}}^{\prime}-w\left(x_{1}\right) y_{2}=\lambda^{\prime} \tag{4.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Averaging over $x_{1}$ and using $\langle w\rangle=0$, we get

$$
\lambda^{\prime}=\lambda_{1}(y)=0
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{x} u^{\prime}-2 y \cdot \nabla_{x} u^{\prime}=w\left(x_{1}\right) y_{2} \tag{4.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

The only solution of this equation is $u^{\prime}=u^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{x_{1} x_{1}}^{\prime}-2 y_{1} u_{x_{1}}^{\prime}=w\left(x_{1}\right) y_{2} \tag{4.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $\delta=0$ in (4.41) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\partial_{x_{1}}-y_{1}\right)^{2} u^{\prime \prime}+\left(\partial_{x_{2}}-y_{2}\right)^{2} u^{\prime \prime}+2 w\left(x_{1}\right)\left(\partial_{x_{2}}-y_{2}\right) u^{\prime}=\lambda^{\prime \prime}+\lambda_{0} u^{\prime \prime} \tag{4.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{x} u^{\prime \prime}-2 y \cdot \nabla_{x} u^{\prime \prime}+2 w\left(x_{1}\right)\left(u_{x_{2}}^{\prime}-y_{2} u^{\prime}\right)=\lambda^{\prime \prime} \tag{4.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

and averaging over $x$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{\prime \prime}=2 \lambda_{2}(y)=2\left\langle w\left(x_{1}\right)\left(u_{x_{2}}^{\prime}-y_{2} u^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle=\left(-2 y_{2}\right)\left\langle w\left(x_{1}\right) u^{\prime}\right\rangle \tag{4.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us solve (4.45) by Fourier series

$$
\begin{aligned}
u^{\prime} & =\sum_{m \neq 0} e^{i m x_{1}} u_{m}^{\prime} \\
w\left(x_{1}\right) & =\sum_{m \neq 0} e^{i m x_{1}} \alpha_{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting into (4.45) gives

$$
u_{m}^{\prime}=\frac{y_{2} x_{m}}{-m^{2}-2 m y_{1} i}
$$

and so

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle w\left(x_{1}\right) u^{\prime}\right\rangle & =\sum_{m \neq 0}\left|\alpha_{m}\right|^{2} \frac{y_{2}}{-m^{2}-2 m y_{1} i} \\
& =2 y_{2} \sum_{m>0}\left|\alpha_{m}\right|^{2} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{1}{-m^{2}-2 m y_{1} i}\right\} \\
& =2 y_{2} \sum_{m>0}\left|\alpha_{m}\right|^{2} \frac{-m^{2}}{m^{4}+4 m^{2} y_{1}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore by (4.48)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{\prime \prime}=4 y_{2}^{2} \sum_{m>0}\left|\alpha_{m}\right|^{2} \frac{1}{m^{2}+4 y_{1}^{2}}>0 \tag{4.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda(y, \delta) \geqslant \lambda_{0}(y) \tag{4.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c^{*}(\delta)=\inf _{y_{2}>0} \frac{\lambda(y, \delta)}{y_{2}} \geqslant \inf _{y_{2}>0} \frac{\lambda_{0}(y)}{y_{2}}=c^{*}(0)>0 \tag{4.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

This means that the effective wave speed is larger than the corresponding speed in the uniform medium in the shear flow model.

Let us now turn to the 1 D model. The eigenvalue problem for $\lambda$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{y} u & =\left(\partial_{x}-y\right)\left[\left(1+\delta a_{1}\right)\left(\partial_{x}-y\right) u\right]=\lambda u \\
u & =1+\delta u_{1}+\delta^{2} u_{2}+\cdots \\
\lambda & =y^{2}+\delta \lambda_{1}(y)+\delta^{2} \lambda_{2}(y)+\cdots  \tag{4.52}\\
\left\langle a_{1}\right\rangle & =0
\end{align*}
$$

Differentiating (4.52) twice with respect to $\delta$, we get
$\left(\partial_{x}-y\right)\left[a_{1}\left(\partial_{x}-y\right) u\right]+\left(\partial_{x}-y\right)\left[\left(1+\delta a_{1}\right)\left(\partial_{x}-y\right) u^{\prime}\right]=\lambda^{\prime} u+\lambda u^{\prime}$
and

$$
\begin{align*}
2\left(\partial_{x}\right. & -y)\left[a_{1}\left(\partial_{x}-y\right) u^{\prime}\right]+\left(\partial_{x}-y\right)\left[\left(1+\delta a_{1}\right)\left(\partial_{x}-y\right) u^{\prime \prime}\right] \\
& =\lambda^{\prime \prime} u+2 \lambda^{\prime} u^{\prime}+\lambda u^{\prime \prime} \tag{4.54}
\end{align*}
$$

Letting $\delta=0$ in (4.53), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\left(\partial_{x}-y\right)\left(a_{1} y\right)+\left(\partial_{x}-y\right)^{2} u^{\prime}=\lambda^{\prime}+y^{2} u^{\prime} \tag{4.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
-y\left(a_{1, x}-y a_{1}\right)+u_{x x}^{\prime}-2 y u_{x}^{\prime}=\lambda^{\prime} \tag{4.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

Averaging over $x$, and using $\left\langle a_{1}\right\rangle=0$, we get

$$
\lambda^{\prime}=\lambda_{1}(y)=0
$$

We then have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{x x}^{\prime}-2 y u_{x}^{\prime}=y\left(a_{1, x}-y a_{1}\right) \tag{4.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $\delta=0$ in (4.54), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
2\left(\partial_{x}-y\right)\left[a_{1}(\partial x-y) u^{\prime}\right]+\left(\partial_{x}-y\right)^{2} u^{\prime \prime}=\lambda^{\prime \prime}+y^{2} u^{\prime \prime} \tag{4.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

Averaging over $x$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{\prime \prime}=(-2 y)\left\langle a_{1}\left(u_{x}^{\prime}-y u^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle \tag{4.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us solve (4.57) by Fourier series

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{1}=\sum_{m \neq 0} e^{i m x} \alpha_{m} \\
& u^{\prime}=\sum_{m \neq 0} e^{i m x} u_{m}^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting into (4.57) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{m}^{\prime}=\frac{y \alpha_{m}(i m-y)}{-m^{2}-2 y m i} \tag{4.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda^{\prime \prime} & =(-2 y)\left\langle a_{1}\left(u_{x}^{\prime}-y u^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle \\
& =\left(-2 y^{2}\right) \sum_{m \neq 0}\left|\alpha_{m}\right|^{2} \frac{(i m-y)^{2}}{-m^{2}-2 y m i} \\
& =\left(-4 y^{2}\right) \sum_{m>0}\left|\alpha_{m}\right|^{2} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{y^{2}-m^{2}-2 y m i}{-m^{2}-2 y m i}\right\} \\
& =\left(-4 y^{2}\right) \sum_{m>0}\left|\alpha_{m}\right|^{2} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\left.y^{2}-m^{2}-2 y m i\right)\left(-m^{2}+2 y m i\right)}{m^{4}+4 y^{2} m^{2}}\right\} \\
& =\left(-4 y^{2}\right) \sum_{m>0}\left|\alpha_{m}\right|^{2} \frac{m^{2}+3 y^{2}}{m^{2}+4 y^{2}}<0 \tag{4.61}
\end{align*}
$$

which implies

$$
\lambda(y, \delta) \leqslant \lambda(y, 0)
$$

or

$$
c^{*}(\delta) \leqslant c^{*}(0)
$$

This means that the wave speed in the 1D layered medium is less than that in the corresponding uniform medium. We conclude that the qualitative behavior of effective wave speeds in the KPP case is the same as that in the bistable case.
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